G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1907.
(Mulgrai)

File No. 195

Serial Nos. 1-2

Papers Filed.

Month June 1908

SUBJECT.

Petition for the Recovery of the Pudavat amount due to the Sri Someswara Swami temple at Kunigal.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

Muz. 8]

190 .

195-07

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

OFFICE NOTE.

File No. 195-07

SUBJECT.

Re recovery of the Peishkash amount due to the S. Sri Varada Swami Temple at Hirekerur

From

The Deputy Commissioner, Dharwar Dated 17th May, 1908

Letter No. 611 dated 17th May, 1908

The Deputy Commissioner states that one Seshagiri Venkatrao Apaji, for the recovery of the Peishkash amount due to one Pohaji Ganesh Patwardhan his forefather Subhanrao Venkatrao and Ramchandra Venkatrao in interest accruing thereon since, whose for Sanskrit Pathshala in the S. Sri Varada Swami Temple at Hirekerur and Trik Tahsildar who was sent for to inquire the above petitioner, reports that no document is forthcoming, it does not contain any Petition showing the exact [illegible]

File No. of Muzrai Secretariat.

[illegible] account of the Sale proceeds of the endowed properties to the Deputy Commissioner that for 10 years the Utsavams were not conducted in the Temple. It appears that Sri Lakshmana Rao is an old man of 70 years and who is the sole trustee entrusted with the duty of conducting the Utsavams and he would return the sale proceeds if necessary executed a bond in his favour. The Deputy Commissioner of the that no reference is necessary, as the bond obtained by the Personal amount is to be paid and that there seems to be no means for recovering the amount.

Under the circumstances reported, it seems impossible to recover the money. The petition having been addressed to the DC Court, that Officer may be requested to give an endorsement to the Petn accordingly.

T Ananda Rama Rao
6/6/08.

Draft
6/6/08

525
6.6.08
Under to the 2nd Clk
7/6/08
6.6.08
Approved

GPB—1,000—11-6-06.

9/6/08

9/6/08

Sent
8/6/08

190

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To
The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

Dated 12 May 1908
Despatched 13
Received
General No. 611
No.

Enclosure No. nil

Reporting on the petition of Sesha Bhatta praying that the Sudrarah paid by his forefathers thrice to Bhagavan Sebte for conducting the Shamakranti Utsava from the interest thereon in the Sri Someswara Devaru in Kunigal may be restored the Seva which has ceased may be conducted and stating the circumstances under which the loan cannot be restored

MYSORE PUBLIC
4600
16.5.08
JUDICIAL

CR Mar
15/5/08
Up

Note Sub C
5/6/08

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District
Dated 12th May 1908.

From.

K Chandy Esq Ba.
Acting Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To L Anantasami Rao Esqre Ba
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Muzrai Department

Sir,

I have the honor to state that one Seshabhatta of Kunigal presented a petition dated 22nd January 1904 praying that the amount of Puduvat paid by his forefathers and lent to one Bhagavan Setti Some 40 or 50 years ago for Conducting the Shankaranti utsava from the interest accruing on the amount, at

at the temple of Sri Someswara Devaru in the town of Kunigal, may be recovered, and the Seva that had ceased to be performed for about 20 years, Conducted in the temple. Thereupon the Amildar of Kunigal was directed to report in the matter after due enquiry. The Amildar reported in his Ittilla no: 380 dated 5th November 1907 that the Paduvat amount, Viz Rs 60/- paid by one Sabhamudre' Venkataramabhatter for the above said Utsava was lent to the deceased Kam Bhagavan Setty of Kunigal, that on the authority of a Patti found preserved in the Taluk Treasury Records Showing the items of expenditure that had to be incurred on account of the said Utsava out of the interest realized on the loan given to the above said Bhagavan-Setty, Adamah Son of the Said deceased

deceased was asked to return the
and that the said Adannah States that he would return the loan
loan if there be any document exe
cuted by him or by his father forth
Coming holding them liable for the loan
in question. It is reported by the Amildar
that with the exception of the above referred
Patte, there are no other references avail-
-able regarding this Pudivat amount,
that for 20 years the Utsava is not
being Conducted in the temple as
per wishes of the donor, and that
Adannah named above is an old
blind man of 70 years now residing
at Bellary. From the enquiries insti-
tuted, it Could not be ascertained
exactly when and by whom the Pudivat
amount in reference was advanced
and what Voucher was obtained from
the deceased person named above
for the amount paid to him as

a loan and whether the loan was repaid. In these circumstances there seems to be no means for recovering the amount.

2. The file of Vernacular Correspondence ending with the Kunigal Taluk Amildar's report No. 1040 dated 26th April 1908 (Containing the Patti referred to) is herewith forwarded for the information of Government in the Mowzrai Department and such orders as Government may deem fit to pass thereon.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

For Deputy Commissioner

1907

Murugai

Read:

Letter no 611 27/124 Mar 1908 from the Dy Commissioner Tirunkur District ~~Secretary~~ forwarding on the recovery of the Pudavat amount due to the Sri Someswara Swami temple at Kunigal

---

Order thereon no 5517 Exfile dt 11/6/1908.

The Deputy Com[r] reports that one Seshan Bhatta petitioned to him praying for the recovery of the Pudavat amount due to one Bhagavandas by his father Sabha Mudra Venkatarow

the interest accruing thereon
being utilized for Sankranthi
Utsava in the Sri Someswara
Swami temple at Kumigal,
that on enquiries it found
that no document is forth-
coming either in the Taluk
or District Office records except
one Pattee showing the items
of expenditure in connection
account of the said Utsava
out of the interest realized
on the loan given to Bhaga-
Van Sethi, and that for the past 20 years
the utsava in question was
not conducted in the temple
It is further reported that
Adamma who is an old-man
of 70 years and who is the
son

Son of the deceased Bhagavan

Seth ~~was~~ When asked to

repay the said loan States

that he would ~~repay the loan~~ do so

if there be any document

executed by him or by his

forefathers the Defendants states

that no such reference is

forthcoming in the records.

Under the circumstances

referred to the Court is

observe

the document that that

it becomes possible to ~~recover~~

the money the Decree is

required to give a direc-

tion to the Plaintiff

~~the Plaintiff has been directed~~

~~to~~

Order 9/6/08

To,

The Deputy Commissioner

Tq Yn records

9/6/08 Secretary